Change.

I realized recently that a lot of what we are going through because of a global health crisis is no longer adapting or adjusting for now, but is really considering long-term changes for our organization.

We have heard time and time again that if MOUG events were virtual it would be possible for us to reach a wider audience. But, when we have them, we hear that seeing people in person was missed.

I am writing this while I am at a conference for the Indiana and Ohio affiliates of the American Council of the Blind (ACB). You shouldn't be surprised to know I am a chronic volunteer, and therefore an active member of all sorts of organizations! But I've been immersed in a very different conference experience where the concept that accommodations benefit everyone is very clear.

The conference is the first in a hybrid format that I've been to. And the two organizations meeting simultaneously are about as different yet interconnected as the sides of a library (public services and technical services) or types of collections (circulating and archival).* I can witness how everyone interacts in person, many that are glad to be back together again, and how equal attention is paid to virtual attendees. Both can listen and/or watch, both can ask questions. Meeting etiquette is in place so that everyone speaks and asks with a microphone, and any questions from the room where it happens are also reiterated by the speaker so that virtual attendees know what is asked.

For an audience that must always adjust to the world around them, they are the experts in making accommodations to include themselves and their community. That is a skill at which many of us are less adept.

That's where change comes in. Just as ACB is the connector for thousands of folks in the blind community, MOUG is the connector for our community. We are in the position to make some changes, accommodating a larger, more varied audience.

Though this is going to be another virtual annual meeting, we are looking to potentially keep a virtual component even when we are in person. We are considering ways to leverage the virtual opportunities we have to bring more events or spread things out over the course of the year.

(Continued on page 3)
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Tough Assignment
Question: You would think after 30 years of cataloging, I wouldn’t need to bother you with copyright/cataloging questions. I guess I still have a lot to learn. I have a score published by Oxford University Press. At the bottom of the first page of music, is the following:


So, would you treat 2010 as publishing date, or as the “latest” copyright date? For the fixed field, I would be inclined to use DsT in, and dates 2010, 1998. Or, if 2010 is really the latest copyright date, should DsT be 2010, 2007? Should the 264 _1 Sc be [2010], or [1998]? I feel comfortable with the 2nd 264 _4 Sc c 1998.

Answer: As RDA continues to shift underneath our feet, the learning never ends. Only unadorned copyright statements are to be considered of bibliographic significance under most circumstances. In the Original RDA Toolkit, MLA BP 2.11 says in part: “Do not transcribe a copyright renewal date as the copyright date. Do not transcribe a date of copyright transfer as the copyright date.” In the New RDA Toolkit, the corresponding MLA BP states: “Do not consider a copyright renewal date or a copyright transfer date to be a copyright date” (https://access.edatsolikt.com/en-US_alta-3c96f66d1-dia4-39fa-a357-05cebe3b65b-7742f274-a19-a403-2829-e615e2ba8baa). Under AACR2, LCRI 1.4F was much more limited, saying “Ignore copyright renewal dates for works first copyrighted before 1978.” My suggestion would be to disregard the 2010 date unless you have evidence that it represents some change other than merely a transfer of the copyright assignment.

Language Recognition
Question: When field 041 is identified as having ISO 639-3 codes, WorldCat validates the field, even when one of the codes is not a valid ISO 639-3.

Here are two examples: OCLC #5040563: 041 7 $a ber $a mif $2 iso639-3 OCLC: #10531565: 041 07 $a als $a fre $2 iso639

In the first example (OCLC #5040563), “ger” is an ISO 639-2/B code, but not an ISO 639-3 code. Similarly, in the second example (OCLC #10531565), “fre” is an ISO 639-2/B code, but not an ISO 639-3 code. These two fields should accordingly have failed validation and the records not been added to WorldCat.

Answer: Sadly, as useful as WorldCat validation may be, it has its limitations. You have found one of them. In field 041, WorldCat validation is able to check only codes on the MARC Code List for Languages (https://www.loc.gov/standards/sourcelist/language.html), each of which includes literally hundreds and sometimes thousands of languages. ISO 639-3 (https://iso639-3.illinois.edu/) is just one of those eleven lists. ISO 639-2B (https://www.loc.gov/standards/codeofthesOURCE/language.html) is another one of those eleven lists. New language code lists may be assigned a MARC code at any time. Each of those lists is itself subject to change at any time and as you can imagine, trying to validate every code in every language list would be a nightmare to implement, let alone keep up to date. Thanks so much for pointing out the coding errors. Both records have now been cleaned up a bit (and duplicates merged). In such cases, 041 fields have been edited to include the correct ISO 639-3 language codes (“deu” for German, “fra” for French); as you’ve noted, “mif” and “ald” are already both legitimate ISO 639-3 codes. Using the capabilities you have under the Expert Community (see Bibliographic Formats and Standards Chapter 5, https://www.oclc.org/bibliographicformats/en-quality.html) you are able to correct these sorts of errors in most WorldCat records, including these two. If you prefer not to correct such errors yourself, you may report them for us to correct at bibchange@oclc.org or by using the error reporting capability in whichever WorldCat library interface you use.

From the Chair (Continued from page 1)

We are looking at how we identify as an organization may change, better defining our greater purpose and how we connect to other groups and with our field of practice. We are working to change our approach to overcoming the systemic issues inherent to our work, putting our intent and how we measure the impact into words. We are considering that changes are necessary in our modes of communication to ensure the viability of the organization and the value it provides to its members. A pending change that is forthcoming at the time of writing is the election of our next Secretary/Newsletter Editor and our next Continuing Education Coordinator. Both positions will be heavily involved in creating these changes for MOUG.

Many of us are undergoing changes in our institutions, as we or our colleagues move around, our administrations turn over, and our budgets change. Our workplaces may look different in the wake of health and safety adjustments. The systems we use and the guidelines that govern what we do and how we do it are changing. Changes are happening all around us. The integrity, commitment, and effort we put in for the duration of making changes for MOUG are up to us. And, though we are all tired from enduring a wealth of recent change, I am confident that at the very least, MOUG will come out on top because of you.

As I come to the end of this column, I realize I am actually coming to my end of this column, signifying yet another change. This will be the last you hear from me in the MOUG Newsletter as the Chair of MOUG. So, it is time for me to put some important things in writing.

I sort of remember my first MOUG. It was Pittsburgh, 2007. I was a student at the time, and my mentors, Ralph Papakhian and Sue Stancu, essentially made me go. Not in a forceful way, but in an encouraging way. I know I met a lot of people at that time, and I was never left alone. Every moment was an opportunity for Ralph and Sue to introduce me to someone. People invited me into their conversations, asked me questions to get to know my interests in the field, and included me in the activity of the organization.

And here I am 14 years later, serving as the elected Chair. My life has changed dramatically in that time and will continue to change at that pace in the future. And, as far as organizations go, MOUG has been there at every turn. I hope I have given at least a fraction of that back in my time for me to put some important things in writing.

I thought Bruce Evans’ job as Continuing Education Coordinator seemed like a lot of fun when I was a neophyte. Two CECs later, I felt connected enough with the organization to give it a try. Bruce was Chair at the time. I suppose I must be one of Bruce’s biggest fans, as I followed him all over the place! He worked at SMU a bit before me, he served as CEC a bit before me, and he preceded me as MOUG Chair a couple of terms ago.

I followed Alan Ringwood through the Chair cycle, and he has also become one of my most valued connections in both MOUG and my time in Texas. We can always sit down to lengthy chats where it’s like no time has passed, and I am confident we will continue to do so well into the future. As problematic as Texas is as a state, I got to know some of the best people there.

The MOUG Board throughout my time as Chair has been incredible. Here’s why, as told through the tale of a place called Harrison House.

My first year as Continuing Education Coordinator was a year that MOUG met jointly with OALC. To accommodate that, the MOUG Board forewent its usual, in-person Summer meeting in Columbus, Ohio, substituting it with a conference call. It worked out that I was planning to be in Northern California around the same time on vacation with my sister to visit San Francisco and head up to the Sonoma Valley for a wine library anniversary celebration with a former music library colleague. So, while I was there, I went to visit Casey Mullin who was Past Treasurer at the time in his office at Stanford University where we joined the conference call together. Talk about serendipity!

Making the Summer MOUG Board meeting virtual, though, meant that the group did not take its usual place at the Harrison House Bed & Breakfast in Columbus, Ohio within walking distance of Jay Weitz’s house and a short drive from OCLC. That’s been a significant part of the MOUG Board’s collective heart for a long time.

The next year we met in person again, this time at the Harrison House. I was fortunate to get the opportunity to spend time with everyone in a place I had been to for many years before. I could easily see why it was a place they held near and dear. I was in a cozy room full of lovely antique furniture in a beautiful house full of MOUG Board members enjoying the company and hospitality of Lynn, the owner.

And then my term as CEC was up so there was no Harrison House for me for a while. Until I was elected for the Chair cycle and went back in my year as Vice Chair/Chair-Elect. This time I got to enjoy the company of Melissa Moll as my roommate at the Harrison House. We were in another cozy room in the beautiful house full of MOUG Board members. Suffering from insomnia that comes with brain trauma, I got to know Lynn a lot better as I kept her company while she prepared for each day.

To step off the path of this story for a second, I should really note that one of my earliest memories of MOUG Board things was the dinner in Atlanta, Georgia, where I...
Questions and Answers
Jaw Weitz, OCLC

Substitute Teaching
Question: I’ve come across a few of these and wondered what the normal cataloging practice is for others. I have OCLC #46718230 in hand, but instead of including all the three audio discs, the cover on the printed score refers me to the publisher website where I can download the audio files (https://www.thermusic.com/new/downloads.shtml). The score itself still refers to the CD track numbers and even a comment about using “the balance control on your stereo.” Do you locally edit the record and delete references to the CDs, or create a new record with a note that the audio is now available online, or something else? I do see that it may justify a new record under field 3XX subfield 5e. My library is not using 33X fields yet. If I create a new record, I know to add the 856 field for the link, but should I also add a 500 explaining that it doesn’t contain the CDs like other versions which have the same ISBN? Is there an appropriate 250 edition statement so my new record doesn’t also get merged?

Answer: In a case such as this, you have several options. You may use the existing record #46718230 and edit it locally to reflect the substitution of the downloadable audio files for the three audio compact discs. From your description, it seems that you have a copy of the score alone, without any physical accompanying material and only reference/links to the downloadable audio files available on the publisher’s website. That would justify the creation of a separate record for the score alone because there does not appear to be such a record in WorldCat currently. In that record for the score alone, you would note the existence of and links to the audio files. Although you don’t have to create a new record, it would be justified. Your choice. A note explaining the existence of a similar but different resource with CD accompaniment rather than the downloadable audio file link would be fine, including whatever detail you consider appropriate. If DDR works correctly in this instance, there is no need for a differentiating supplied edition statement because the presence of field 300 subfield 5e in #46718230 and its absence in your new record is supposed to prevent an incorrect match.

A period of adjustment
Question: Please remind me why I started using “I” instead of “a” for scores of music like symphonies and songs in FMus: Format of Music. Seems like I heard that “a” was not used and “I” was the preferred answer for all full scores.

Answer: Please don’t send me down that Format of Music (SCO 008/00, 006/03) rabbit hole again. If you take a look at the MARC Bibliographic Music 008 Content Designator History for the element (https://www.loc.gov/marc/bibliographic/bd008m.html) you will see no fewer than 18 changes since 2009 to the now 17 values. Then take a look at MARC Proposal No. 2016-04, “Redefining Code Values in Field 008/20 (Format of Music) in the MARC 21 Bibliographic Format” (https://www.loc.gov/marc/mac/2016/2016-08.html), and if you have the stomach for it, the earlier MARC Proposal No. 2013-04 (https://www.loc.gov/marc/marcl/2013/2013-04.html) and MARC Proposal No. 2012-07 (https://www.loc.gov/marc/marcl/2012/2012-07.html) and the corresponding discussion papers. To oversimplify, the original terms and definitions for Format of Music in MARC reflected the terms in AACR2 5.5B1 and the definitions in the AACR2 Glossary. The AACR2 terms and definitions did not exactly correspond to those in RDA 7.201.3 in the original Toolkit (81.72.42.72 in the New Toolkit). Hence the series of adjustments, which attempted to align the MARC terms and definitions with the RDA terms and definitions. MLA outlined its preferences in RDA MLA BP 7.20, where code “I” is used rather than “a” and so on.

(Continued on next page)
WorldShare Record Manager Release, October 2021

The 2021 October 16 release of WorldShare Record Manager provides four new features and enhancements in addition to three bug fixes. These features will help you manage more complex workflows, including:

- Ability to add a Shared print commitment in a local holding record (LHR)
- Display of field 066 in a bibliographic record
- Workflow status enhancements were added for Bibliographic Records / Saved - In Progress
- Index "Workflow status" was added to the list of search indexes for Bibliographic Records / Saved - In Progress
- Enhancements to search results and Details pages

Edition statement (MARC tag 250) in search results and on Details pages

Unique title (MARC tag 130 or 240) on Details pages

Bug fixes include:
- Field 876 subfield $d no longer is deleted when editing the Temporary Shelving Location in text view
- Options for transferring LHRs are now available in all supported languages
- Applying an author facet no longer returns zero results

Full details are available in the WorldShare Record Manager Release Notes, October 2021 at https://help.oclc.org/Metadata_Services/WorldShare_Record_Manager/Release_notes_and_known_issues_2021_release_notes/085WorldShare_Record_Manager_release_notes_October_2021

WMS First in Library Service Industry to Achieve StateRAMP “Ready” Authorization

OCLC’s WorldShare Management Services is first in the library service industry to be included on the StateRAMP Authorized Vendors List as “Ready,” following a thorough evaluation to meet security requirements for cloud services at state and local levels of government in the United States. StateRAMP is based on the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) security and privacy controls for information systems and organizations. StateRAMP is built around a “complete once, use many times” concept that saves time and reduces costs for cloud service providers and government entities. Inclusion of OCLC on the StateRAMP Authorized Vendor List comes a year after OCLC achieved FedRAMP (Federal Risk and Authorization Management Program) authorization. Like FedRAMP, StateRAMP requires cloud service providers to obtain an annual third-party assessment to validate compliance. StateRAMP was created from the need for a standardized approach to cybersecurity standards required of cloud service providers that offer solutions to state and local governments. StateRAMP is a membership organization comprised of cloud service providers who offer IaaS, PaaS, and/or Saas solutions; third-party assessment organizations; and government officials.

2021 Global Council Election Results Announced

The 2021 elections for OCLC Regional Council delegates are complete. Congratulations to the individuals who began three-year terms as delegates on the Global and/or Regional Councils starting 2021 November 1. The full list is available at https://www.oclc.org/en/news/announcements/2021-global-council-election-results-2021.html. In addition to welcoming new delegates, new leadership for each of the Regional Councils also began on 2021 November 1. The Global Council Executive Committee for 2021–2022 is:

- Global Council Chair & ARC Chair: Earl Givens Jr., Library Director, Catawba College (North Carolina, USA)
- Global Council Vice Chair & EMEA Vice Chair/Career Elect: Evi Tramantza, Director of Libraries and Archives, Anatolia College (Greece)
- ARC Vice Chair/Career Elect: Hong Yao, Technical Services Director, Queens Public Library (New York, USA)
- EMEA Chair: Sarah Hutter-Savie, Library Director, Nice Sophia Antipolis University (France)
- APRC Chair: Constance Wiebrands, University Librarian, Edith Cowan University (Australia)
- APRC Vice Chair/Career Elect: Muh-Chyun Tang, Associate University Librarian, National Taiwan University (Taiwan)

2022 MOUG Annual Meeting. I truly hope I can make the transition as smooth as possible, never having made this kind of transition during a time like the present. Doing everything in a global pandemic is drastically unusual, but there is also not much usual to go back to, as the aforementioned unusual has changed just as drastically.

And then there’s Rahni Kennedy. Remember how I followed in Bruce’s footsteps at the beginning of this tribute? Rahni’s been following me through the same journey in many ways and it is only fitting that I bring this “From the Chair” column full circle. We worked together at Southern Methodist University, and when I left he moved into the job that both Bruce Evans and I held in the past. The same with being Chair of the Texas chapter of the Music Library Association. Most recently, Rahni was Kevin’s predecessor as Continuing Education Coordinator, one of the MOUG Board positions held by Bruce and me. Rahni is one of my closest music library friends, and I am grateful for his support, our shared humor, and the time I have been able to spend with him in all of my professional outlets. Watch out, Rahni! That means you will be MOUG Chair someday! :)

I want to share my sincere gratitude to everyone I’ve ever encountered in my time on the Board so far, and especially my time as Chair. Though we may no longer find ourselves the annual occupants of a house on 5th Avenue in Columbus, you will all be permanent residents of my life. I acknowledge the unwavering dedication to the organization of everyone involved, and will forever be indebted to each and every one of you. Here’s to MOUG.

*Before anyone emails me to debate these, please don’t!* I am fully aware that the library and archives field is a whole heck of a lot more nuanced than that. So is the blind and low vision community.
Hello again, colleagues and friends,

In the previous Newsletter, I said that I did not yet have any confirmed details about the 2022 MOUG Annual Meeting’s dates or venue. And when I wrote that column, this was the absolute truth. But the timing of my writing, the MOUG Board meetings in which we made decisions, and the preparation to publish the September Newsletter overlapped in ways that unfortunately resulted in an overall message that was very, very unclear. I apologize for the confusion this may have caused you.

This time around I can write to you with a slightly more confident tone. We have made some decisions about MOUG 2022—not all the decisions, but some of the important ones.

• The 2022 Annual Meeting of the Music OCLC Users Group will take place virtually on February 7-8, 2022, 12:00-5:00 pm EST.

• This year’s virtual meeting will have a registration fee. The MOUG Board decided that the organization’s financial wellbeing necessitated that a fee be charged this time around. We have not yet settled on a registration cost, but will share this information via MOUG-L when it is known.

• We are also exploring virtual platforms to find one that works well for our presenters and participants. Since MOUG is not a large organization, we are hoping that our virtual meeting can provide not only the information and presentations, but also a social aspect to keep our community connected.

• If you will be attending the Music Library Association meeting in person in Salt Lake City, we are hoping to organize some informal MOUG social events for our members.

• The Program Committee will be making a call for Lightning Talk proposals in the near future. Five minutes to tell your colleagues about a fancy online tool you discovered, a cool project in which you are involved, or a particular cataloging conundrum.

• I promise to provide more details and a schedule of MOUG 2022 program very soon. In the meantime, I wish you all well, and I look forward to seeing you virtually in February 2022!

---

**Open Cultural Heritage Resources from Europeana Now Accessible Through WorldCat**

A partnership between OCLC and Europeana has now made millions of open cultural heritage resources easily accessible through WorldCat, the world’s most comprehensive database of information about library collections. Europeana works with thousands of European archives, libraries, museums, and audio-visual collections to share cultural heritage for enjoyment, education, and research, and to foster creativity and innovation. The contribution of over 25 million openly licensed records from the Europeana website to WorldCat adds to the rapidly growing number of open content resources that are accessible through OCLC services. Open content continues to be an important part of library collections as users increasingly expect immediate access to free, online resources.

Users of WorldCat.org and WorldCat Discovery can use the Open Access filter to quickly and easily surface resources from Europeana and other open content providers. Europeana is Europe’s platform for digital cultural heritage, empowering cultural heritage institutions to share their collections with the world. Through the Europeana website, millions of cultural heritage items from around 4,000 institutions across Europe are available online. We work to share and promote this heritage so that it can be used and enjoyed by people across the world. Our work contributes to an open, knowledgeable, and creative society. The Europeana Foundation is the organization tasked by the European Commission with developing a digital cultural heritage platform for Europe.

---

**WorldCat Validation Installation, September 2021**

Changes to WorldCat Validation were installed on 2021 September 23, involving the following new features and enhancements:

- OCLC-MARC Validations of New MARC Codes Announced April-July 2021.
- MARC 21 Bibliographic Update No. 32 (announced June 2021).
- MARC 21 Authority Update No. 32 (announced June 2021) to the Validation Rule Set that Includes All Valid Elements of MARC 21 Authority Format.
- MARC 21 Authority Update No. 32 (announced June 2021) to the Validation Rule Set for the Library of Congress Name Authority Cooperative (NACO).
- MARC 21 Holdings Update No. 32 (announced June 2021).

Bug fixes included:

- Correction of GTIN-14 Validation in Bibliographic, Authority, and Holdings fields 024.
- Alignment of Bibliographic Field 956 with Field 856.

These enhancements and fixes are the result of announcements of new MARC elements and codes by the Library of Congress as well as feedback and requests from members of the OCLC cooperative. Full details of the WorldCat Validation Release Notes, September 2021 are available at [https://help.oclc.org](https://help.oclc.org).